88-233

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
AUG 10 1988
JOSEPH F. SPANIOL, JR.
CLERK

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

LEONARD J. KERPELMAN,

Petitioner

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND,

Respondent.

September Term 1987

No.

SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS OF MARYLAND AND TO APPENDIX

LEONARD J. KERPELMAN
Petitioner, In Proper Person
2403 W. Rogers Avenue
Baltimore, Maryland 21209
(301) 367 8855

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Additional Opinion Reported.....	1 Supp.
Supplement to Grounds for Invoking the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court.....	2 Supp.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Statutes

Title 28 USC Section 1257(3).....	2 Supp.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

LEONARD J. KERPELMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND,

Respondent.

September Term 1987

No.

SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS OF MARYLAND

(d)

Additional Opinion Reported

The Opinion of the Court of Appeals of Maryland is appended hereto in this Supplement at Pp. A1 Supp. - A2 Supp. It is reported at

Md ; A2d .

(e)

Supplement to Grounds for
Invoking the Jurisdiction of
the Supreme Court

(i) and (ii)

Following rejection of the Petitioner's appeal by the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland, a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to that intermediate court was duly filed in the Court of Appeals of Maryland, the "highest court of the state in which a decision could be had" for the purposes of Title 28 USC Section 1257(3). This petition was denied on June 16, 1988 (See page 1A Supp.).

In his Petition for Certiorari, the Petitioner --probably erroneously --states that the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland is such "highest" court; the further

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

LEONARD J. KERPELMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND,

Respondent.

September Term 1987

No.

SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS OF MARYLAND

(d)

Additional Opinion Reported

The Opinion of the Court of Appeals of Maryland is appended hereto in this Supplement at Pp. A1 Supp. - A2 Supp. It is reported at

Md ; A2d .

(e)

Supplement to Grounds for
Invoking the Jurisdiction of
the Supreme Court

(i) and (ii)

Following rejection of the Petitioner's appeal by the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland, a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to that intermediate court was duly filed in the Court of Appeals of Maryland, the "highest court of the state in which a decision could be had" for the purposes of Title 28 USC Section 1257(3). This petition was denied on June 16, 1988 (See page 1A Supp.).

In his Petition for Certiorari, the Petitioner --probably erroneously --states that the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland is such "highest" court; the further

petition to the Court of Appeals of Maryland undoubtedly resolves the matter, that court having rejected the petition to it for the writ to the intermediate court.

This substantive denial was for the "failure" to show:

> "(T)hat review (by the higher court) is ...desirable and in the public interest."

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD J. KERPELMAN
Petitioner, In Proper Person

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

LEONARD J. KERPELMAN	In the Court
	of Appeals
	of Maryland
v.	
	Petition Docket No. 99 September Term, 1988
STATE OF MARYLAND	(No. 464, Septembe Term 1987, Court of Special Appeal

O R D E R

Upon consideration of the petition for a writ of certiorari to the Court of Special Appeals in the above entitled case, it is

ORDERED, by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that the petition be, and it is hereby, denied as there has been no showing that review by certiorari is desirable and in the public interest.

/s/Robert C. Murphy
Chief Judge

Date: June 16, 1988